

Praxis2Practice Consulting

Carolyn L. Winsor, BMus, BEd
Biological Healing Consultant



Penticton
British Columbia
V2A 7M6 CANADA

Website: <https://praxis2practice.com/>

E-Mail: carolyn@praxis2practice.com



Representing all previous
OIRF publications.

*An exclusive translated article for Praxis2Practice Supporters,
published June 2025 by Praxis2Practice Consulting . . .*

Society

The WHO's Disturbing Plans

Part 2, Control and Power Through the Pandemic Treaty

An Interview with Beate Bahner, by Thomas Hein

From an article in **raum&zeit**, Volume 43, Issue #255, May/June 2025

Translation & redaction by: Carolyn L. Winsor, P2P Consulting

© Copyright 2025, **raum&zeit**, Thomas Hein / Beate Bahner, Germany
AI Digital and online translation assistance utilized.

The planned WHO pandemic treaty endangers our freedom of expression, our medical self-determination as well as the fundamental rights of nation states, explains Beate Bahner. In this second part of the interview, she goes more exactly into what we could expect if it is implemented.

raum&zeit Interview with **Beate Bahner**, Heidelberg, by **Thomas Hein**, Bingen

Thomas A. Hein: *Now let's move on to the central topic of your book, the pandemic treaty. How does the WHO justify the need for such a treaty and what should this treaty include? Can you tell us the most important key points here?*

Beate Bahner: In a first draft of the pandemic treaty, the WHO claimed that the international community had "catastrophically failed" in the matter of Corona, thus that a solidary and just response to the coronavirus pandemic was necessary.

This statement can hardly be surpassed in cynicism and is a real slap in the face for those countries and people who, in exact solidarity, had bowed down to the lockdowns, curfews, contact bans, school and business closures, compulsory masks, compulsory testing, compulsory quarantine and finally the pressure to vaccinate. Furthermore, the pandemic treaty is justified by the need to distribute medical products "fairly" in the event of a pandemic, especially in developing countries that allegedly did not receive sufficient vaccines quickly enough during the Covid-19 pandemic. In order to be better prepared against pandemics, continuous global epidemiological and genomic surveillance as well as a rapid and transparent exchange of information and data on disease outbreaks are also imperative.

All this shows that there are completely different interests behind the pandemic treaty.

In view of the excellent medical and hygienic standards – at least in the many highly developed areas of the world - it is highly surprising why dangerous pandemics are to be expected incessantly since the Corona year 2020. It is also astonishing to assume that protection against these pandemics can only be guaranteed if citizens are permanently monitored and states invest billions of Euros annually in the procurement and storage of so-called pandemic products – especially vaccines. All this shows that there are completely different interests behind the pandemic treaty.

The most dangerous aspect of the pandemic treaty is the danger of permanent monitoring of the health of all people worldwide.

The End of Free Opinion?

Hein: *In your opinion, what are the most dangerous projects included in the pandemic treaty?*

Bahner: The most dangerous aspect of the pandemic treaty is the danger of permanent monitoring of the health of all people worldwide, as well as of global life as a whole. In this respect, the WHO is planning to set up a worldwide laboratory network under its control, which could oblige people to test billions of times in the event of a claim of a pandemic – or even just in the case of the "possibility" of a pandemic. We already know this from the Corona period, when we could hardly move without tests and as an unvaccinated person. It is to be feared that the tests could serve to exclude unpleasant people from their social, economic and social life by claiming that the test is positive and that the person must immediately go into quarantine. Such abuse is already commonplace in China and leads to monstrously totalitarian excesses.

Another danger is the censorship in the matter of divergent opinions on pandemics, health including climate, and all these narratives. The member states should commit themselves to controlling, preventing and, if necessary, sanctioning all such statements – even well below the criminal liability limit. This has already been implemented in Europe and in Germany through the

so-called "Digital Services Act", which makes it possible to remove digital content on the Internet that is classified as illegal. For several years now, we have been experiencing the absurdities and excesses of these opinion controls, which are to be implemented much more massively worldwide through the amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) and the WHO Pandemic Treaty.

Coercion / Compulsion to Take Medical Measures

The declaration of the pandemic is also intended to authorize the WHO to order appropriate medical measures. Here we already know from the Corona period the ordering of testing, the ordering of quarantine as well as the ordering of vaccinations against alleged diseases. Here, people are completely curtailed in their right to self-determination and doctors in their freedom of therapy; it is even to be feared that people will be treated almost like cattle, which can be locked away, vaccinated or even slaughtered by order. If we look at China and the corona measures imposed there, we must actually expect the worst if this WHO pandemic treaty is actually adopted and then implemented by the individual countries.

Expansion of Biological Weapons Research

We must further expect a misanthropic commercialization of biological weapons research, euphemistically referred to as "gain-of-function" research. Countries should commit themselves to producing or exchanging corresponding pathogens themselves and to be able to demand or have to pay money for this in order to make viruses or bacteria even more dangerous. The justification for this is always that supposedly evil terrorists could carry out a bioweapon attack and that countries must be armed against such terrorist attacks through corresponding research and vaccine development.

Corona has shown us that it is by no means malicious terrorists who are pursuing such plans, but rather brutal interests of pharmaceutical companies, the military and other institutions and backers that we do not even know in case of doubt.

Vaccination Skeptics In The Crosshairs

Hein: *As a solution to all health problems, the WHO usually proposes chemical products, i.e. medications such as tablets or vaccinations. You write that the WHO even claims that vaccine skeptics are among the ten greatest health threats and that action must be taken against vaccine skepticism. What is the WHO planning to do here?*

Bahner: The WHO's claim that vaccine skeptics are among the ten greatest health threats can hardly be surpassed in terms of viciousness and perfidy. On the one hand, there is not a single study worldwide that proves that unvaccinated people pose dangers or that even a single other person has been endangered or even died by unvaccinated people. With this claim, vaccination

propaganda reaches a new level of unscrupulousness and misanthropy. It violates people's human dignity and demonizes people who rely on their natural immunity and refuse this totalitarian medical pressure. Of course, this is about a narrative that has been transported worldwide for years and decades, namely that vaccinations supposedly protect and thus decimate diseases. A sober, careful scientific consideration, as can be found in critical literature, proves exactly the opposite. Such a statement alone shows that the WHO is by no means an organization to which one can entrust one's health. Rather, it proves that the WHO is a brutal profit-oriented commercial institution that does not represent the interests of citizens worldwide, but rather the corporate interests, even with dishonest methods.

It is about the worldwide collection of all medical and personal data for the purpose of control and the exercise of power.

Attacks Against Critical Doctors

Hein: *In your book there is a separate chapter on the WHO's censorship plans. Does the WHO really want to go so far as to censor information? What are the plans?*

Bahner: During the Corona period, we have experienced impressively how information was censored, suppressed or even sanctioned. Take, for example, all the doctors around the world who have resisted the corona narrative and found that corona is by no means more dangerous than any other flu wave to date. Such doctors were not just defamed, framed as Nazis or ostracized as unscientific, even if they had previously published high-profile publications and were esteemed nationally or internationally. A large number of doctors even lost their jobs in clinics or institutions, they were criminally prosecuted, their licenses to practice medicine were revoked and much more. Such criminal trials are still ongoing today in Germany, where the Corona narrative is adhered to ironically and doggedly. All these are frightening developments – that you almost find it hard to believe – in view of the assertion that we are a democratic state based on the rule of law. However, anyone who looks at the Corona measures coolly and soberly can only come to the conclusion that a global totalitarianism has moved in here, which truly does credit to **George Orwell** and **Aldous Huxley**.

It [the pandemic treaty] also serves to control states and citizens . . .

Worldwide Monitoring

Hein: *You also warn against the installation of a worldwide biomonitoring system. How exactly is this monitoring to be carried out? And what does the WHO want to monitor?*

Bahner: In fact, the WHO is planning a worldwide laboratory network – at least according to Bill Gates – and wants to test billions of people in the shortest possible time in the event of any alleged new pandemic. However, these PCR tests were already the decisive fraud tool during the Corona period, as – depending on the way the PCR test was carried out – basically almost every healthy person could be stigmatised as positive and thus as "asymptomatically ill". The WHO pandemic treaty provides for this in an even more massive expansion in the future. However, the aim is by no means to protect health, as we have seen in Corona times. Billions of tests and even the vaccinations have not led to an immediate end to the measures after a few weeks or months. Rather, the pandemic was only declared over after three years and three months in the spring of 2023. This shows how much the creators behind the WHO pandemic treaty want to mislead and lie to us. In fact, they are actually only concerned with the worldwide collection of all medical and personal data for the purpose of control and the exercise of power.

The WHO represents corporate interests worldwide, even with dishonest methods.

Double Attention Required

Hein: *The pandemic agreement was supposed to be signed in May 2024, but that didn't happen. The whole thing was postponed because some member states did not want to sign the treaty as it was. What is the current status here?*

Bahner: In fact, in June 2024, the pandemic treaty had not yet been signed at the World Health Assembly in Geneva at the time. However, many aspects have been moved from the pandemic treaty to the so-called International Health Regulations (IHR) and have quietly and secretly found their way there. Member States still have a few months to prevent the amended IHR from entering into force in 2024. This must be done through intensive mobilization of the new government. The latter must explicitly declare to the WHO that the amended IHR 2024 will not be adopted by Germany. Every government is obliged to do so because the amendments to the IHR were adopted in flagrant violation of the WHO's own legal and formal requirements. Any amendment to the IHR must be submitted to all Member States four months in advance. This did not happen, rather a new version of the IHR was presented to the representatives of the member states present – presumably on the same day of the vote on 1 June 2024 – and presumably forced to vote. Such an achievement is unacceptable under the rule of law and must therefore be rejected for this reason alone.

This pandemic treaty does not bode well. [...] It is a dishonest, totalitarian, misanthropic instrument of some globalists and corporations.

Hope For A Change of Course

Hein: *In your book, you mention Germany's special role in the pandemic agreement, which is driving the agreement forward. How do you assess the situation at the moment, after the end of the Traffic Light Coalition* government and also against the background of the election of Donald Trump as the new US president?*

Bahner: Of course, the WHO pandemic treaty could also fail at the moment because the new President Donald Trump declared his withdrawal from the WHO on the day of his inauguration. If and to the extent that other states should follow, the pandemic treaty is of course also on the brink. This is to be hoped, because this pandemic treaty does not bode well for the health and citizens of our planet. It is a dishonest, totalitarian, misanthropic instrument of some globalists and corporations in order to financially squeeze the nation states and their citizens under the pretext of alleged pandemics and to oblige them to permanently stock pandemic products such as vaccines, masks and other products, without there being a medical necessity for this. It also serves to control states and citizens, who thus lose all sovereignty and self-determination. We must therefore do everything we can to slow down the WHO, to stop the pandemic plans once and for all and to reserve the response to health events back to regional, national and supranational institutions, which have already been well equipped for this purpose in recent years and decades.

* In German politics, a traffic light coalition is a coalition government of the Social Democratic Party, the Free Democratic Party and Alliance 90/The Greens. It is named after the parties' traditional colours . . .



Beate Bahner, has been working as a lawyer since 1995, initially in contract, construction and commercial law as well as in family law, since 1999 as a specialist in physician, medical and health law. Since 1999, she has been supporting doctors, dentists, therapists, clinics, practice clinics and other companies in the healthcare sector nationwide in all medical law issues in her own law firm – making her an experienced consultant and profound expert in the healthcare industry. For her successful development of the law firm, she was awarded the Law Firm's Founder's Award in 2003.

Thomas A. Hein has been working as a freelance author and journalist for 16 years and has produced various television reports for the programs "planet e" (ZDF) and "Umwelt" (3Sat), among others. Since 2000, he has specialized in medical journalism, where he has supplied the programs "Volle Kanne", "Praxis täglich" and the "Gesundheitsmagazin Praxis" on ZDF with contributions, among others.



Please [follow this link](#) to see Part 1 of this exclusive interview.
Please [follow this link](#) to see Carolyn's Comments regarding this interview.